IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VS.

ALASKA STATE EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION/AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES LOCAL 52, AFL-CIO,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant.

STATE OF ALASKA,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

ALASKA STATE EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION/AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES LOCAL 52, AFL-CIO,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL J. DUNLEAVY, in his official capacity as Governor of Alaska; KEVIN G. CLARKSON, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Alaska; KELLY TSHIBAKA, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Alaska Department of Administration; and STATE OF ALASKA, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION,

Third-Party Defendants.

COPY
Original Received
SEP 2 5 2019

Clerk of the Trial Courts

Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 1 of 17

DILLON & FINDLEY
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
TEL. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

Defendant/counterclaimant and third-party plaintiff Alaska State Employees Association / AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO ("ASEA") answers plaintiff/counterclaim defendant State of Alaska's ("State's") September 16, 2019 Complaint:

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

- 1. ASEA admits the State of Alaska has approximately 15,000 employees. ASEA admits that it is the collective bargaining representative of a bargaining unit of approximately 8,000 Alaska state employees (the "General Government Bargaining Unit"). ASEA admits that it has entered into a collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") with the State covering the General Government Bargaining Unit employees. ASEA admits that the CBA sets forth provisions governing certain terms and conditions of employment for employees in the General Government Bargaining Unit. ASEA otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 1.
- 2. ASEA admits that it is a union based in Alaska, in general that it represents state and municipal employees, that it is the democratically elected collective bargaining representative of the General Government Bargaining Unit of Alaska state employees, and that the General Government Bargaining Unit is the largest bargaining unit of public employees in Alaska. ASEA denies that the General Government Bargaining Unit includes any municipal employees, and otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 2.

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI

Page 2 of 17

3. Paragraph 3 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action.

- 4. Paragraph 4 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that venue is proper in this Court.
- 5. Paragraph 5 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the First Amendment is made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment and that it generally forbids government abridgment of the freedom of speech and of expressive association. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 5 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- 6. Paragraph 6 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 6 describe general legal principles. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 6 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- 7. Paragraph 7 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 7 describe general legal principles. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 7 are a complete summary of the applicable law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8.	Paragraph 8 states	legal conclusions	, to which no	o response is	required. To
the extent a r	esponse is required,	ASEA denies the	allegations in	n Paragraph 8	

- Paragraph 9 states a legal conclusion, to which no response is required. To 9. the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 9.
- Paragraph 10 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To 10. the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.
- Paragraph 11 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To 11. the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 11.
- Paragraph 12 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To 12. the extent a response is required, ASEA admits the allegations in Paragraph 12.
- Paragraph 13 states a legal conclusion to which to response is required. To 13. the extent a response is required, ASEA admits the allegations in Paragraph 13.
- 14. ASEA admits that it engages in collective bargaining with the State over the employment terms and conditions of the employees in the bargaining unit ASEA represents.
- ASEA admits that it represents the employees in the General Government 15. Bargaining Unit in collective bargaining regarding wages, pensions, and certain other benefits of those employees. ASEA admits that it has engaged in lobbying efforts related to certain issues. ASEA otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 15.

- 17. ASEA admits that Section 3.04 of the CBA contains the language quoted in Paragraph 17.
- 18. ASEA admits that Section 3.04 of the CBA contains the language quoted in Paragraph 18.
- 19. ASEA admits that it has been the State's practice to deduct money from an employee's paycheck, pursuant to that employee's voluntary dues deduction authorization, and transfer that money to ASEA, when the State receives a dues deduction authorization from ASEA signed by that employee.
- 20. ASEA admits that the voluntary member dues deduction authorization agreement contains the language quoted in Paragraph 20. Paragraph 20 otherwise contains legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 20.
- 21. ASEA admits that the language quoted in Paragraph 20 from the voluntary member dues deduction authorization agreement establishes an annual period during

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 5 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

which the member may revoke his or her dues deduction authorization. Paragraph 21 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 21.

- 22. ASEA admits the allegations in Paragraph 22.
- 23. Paragraph 23 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 23 summarize some of the facts of Janus v. AFSCME, Council 31, 138 S.Ct. 2448 (2018). However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 23 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- 24. Paragraph 24 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 24 summarize the plaintiff's argument in *Janus*. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 24 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- Paragraph 25 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To 25. the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the Janus opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 25. ASEA otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 25 and denies that the allegations in Paragraph 25 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- 26. Paragraph 26 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 26.

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 6 of 17

27. Paragraph 27 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that *Janus* generally held that the Illinois law requiring non-union members such as plaintiff Janus to pay compulsory fair share fees to which they never consented violated Janus's free speech rights. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 27 are a complete summary of the law.

- 28. Paragraph 28 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Paragraph 29 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the *Janus* opinion contains the language selectively quoted in Paragraph 29 but denies that the language is being quoted in context. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 29 are a complete or accurate summary of the applicable law.
- 30. Paragraph 30 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the *Janus* opinion contains the language selectively quoted in Paragraph 30 but denies the language is being quoted in context. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 30 are a complete or accurate summary of the applicable law.
- 31. Paragraph 31 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA admits that the *Janus* opinion contains the language selectively quoted in Paragraph 31 (except for the alterations reflected in

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 7 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Paragraph 31) but denies the language is being quoted in context. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 31 are a complete or accurate summary of the applicable law.

- 32. ASEA admits that, before Janus, the State's prior collective bargaining agreement with ASEA (which has been superseded by the current CBA) required the State to deduct a service fee from employees who were not members of the Union and provided that the State would deduct dues from employees who were members of the Union and authorized such deductions. ASEA otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 32.
- ASEA admits that, in response to *Janus*, the State, under the administration 33. of then-Governor Bill Walker, stopped deducting agency fees from non-members' paychecks. ASEA admits that the State and ASEA reached an agreement to modify the terms of the General Government Bargaining Unit's CBA to comply with the Janus decision. ASEA otherwise lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 33, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 34. Paragraph 34 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 34.
- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson issued a legal opinion 35. regarding the *Janus* decision but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA otherwise lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 35, and on that basis denies those allegations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

36. ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson issued a legal opinion on August 27, 2019 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA admits that this legal opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 36. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 36 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law.

- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal 37. opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 37 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 37 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 37 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37.
- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal 38. opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 38 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 38 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 38 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 38.
- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal · 39. opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 39 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 39 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 39 otherwise states legal conclusions

Page 9 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 39.

- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal 40. opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 40 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 40 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 40 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 40.
- 41. Paragraph 41 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 41.
- 42. ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 42 but denies the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 42 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 42 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 42.
- ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal 43. opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 43 but denies the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 43 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 43 otherwise states legal conclusions to which

no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 43.

- 44. ASEA admits that Attorney General Clarkson's August 27, 2019 legal opinion contains the language quoted in Paragraph 44 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 44 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 44 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 44.
- 45. ASEA admits that the Attorney General's August 27, 2019 opinion recommends that the State implement a new payroll deduction process but denies that the opinion is correct. Paragraph 45 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the other allegations in Paragraph 45.
- 46. ASEA admits that the Attorney General's August 27, 2019 opinion contains the recommendation summarized in Paragraph 46 but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 46 contain an accurate or complete summary of the applicable law. Paragraph 46 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 46.

Page 11 of 17

- 48. ASEA denies the allegations in Paragraph 48.
- 49. ASEA admits that the news articles referenced in Paragraph 49 contain the language quoted in that paragraph and that ASEA Executive Director, Jake Metcalfe, made the statements in those quotations.
- 50. ASEA admits that the press release referenced in Paragraph 50 contains the language quoted in that paragraph.
 - 51. ASEA admits the allegations in Paragraph 51.
- 52. ASEA admits that the article referenced in Paragraph 52 contains the language quoted in that paragraph.
- 53. ASEA admits that the article referenced in Paragraph 53 contains the language quoted in that paragraph. ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the other allegations in Paragraph 53, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 54. ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 54, and on that basis denies those allegations.

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 12 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

55. ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 55, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 56. ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 56, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in 57. Paragraph 57, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- ASEA admits that on September 9, 2019, a representative of the 58. Department of Administration emailed ASEA's Executive Director, Jake Metcalfe, with an email containing the language quoted in Paragraph 58 and stating that the Department would cease dues deductions for certain General Government Bargaining Unit employees beginning on the next payroll. ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 58 regarding whether "these employees" were the same employees referenced in earlier paragraphs in the State's Complaint, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 59. ASEA admits that on September 10, 2019, Mr. Metcalfe responded to the Department's email with an email stating that if the Department stopped deducting dues from certain employees, it would be in violation of the State's CBA with ASEA and Alaska law. ASEA admits that Mr. Metcalfe's email contained the language quoted in Paragraph 59.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

60.	ASEA	lacks	sufficient	knowledge	to	admit	or	deny	the	allegations	in
Paragraph 60, and on that basis denies those allegations.											

- 61. In response to Paragraph 61, ASEA incorporates by reference its responses to the paragraphs above.
- ASEA admits that AS 22.10.020(g) grants to superior courts the power to 62. issue declaratory judgments in cases of actual controversy. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 62 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- 63. ASEA admits that AS 22.10.020(g) contains the language quoted in Paragraph 63. However, ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 63 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- ASEA admits the allegations in Paragraph 64. However, ASEA denies that 64. the allegations in Paragraph 64 are a complete summary of the applicable law.
- ASEA lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in 65. Paragraph 65, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- ASEA admits that Paragraph 66 summarizes the Attorney General's 66. opinion but denies that the opinion is correct. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 66 are accurate or complete statements of applicable law. Paragraph 66 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 66.

67. ASEA admits that it informed the State that it would pursue legal action if the State violates State law and the State's CBA with ASEA. ASEA otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 67.

- 68. ASEA admits that an actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the State and ASEA regarding whether the First Amendment requires the State to violate State law and the State's CBA. ASEA denies that the allegations in Paragraph 68 are a complete summary of the controversy between the State and ASEA. Paragraph 68 otherwise states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 68.
- 69. Paragraph 69 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, ASEA denies the State is entitled to a declaratory judgment as alleged in Paragraph 69.
- 70. The State's request for relief does not require a response. To the extent the request for relief, including each and all of its subparagraphs, states any allegations, ASEA denies that the State is entitled to any relief in this case.
- 71. Except as expressly admitted above, all allegations in the State of Alaska's Complaint are denied.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent the State's dispute with ASEA involves a dispute about the meaning of the State's CBA, the dispute must be resolved through the CBA's grievance/arbitration procedure.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the Court should:

- Dismiss the State's Complaint with prejudice. a.
- Award ASEA its attorney's fees and costs. b.
- Grant ASEA such other and further relief as is just and proper.

DATED this 25th day of September 2019, at Anchorage, Alaska.

DILLON & FINDLEY, P.C. Attorneys for Alaska State Employees Association / AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO

Molly C. Brown, ABA No. 0506057

ALTSHULER BERZON, LLP

Attorneys for Alaska State Employees Association / AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO

Scott A. Kronland (*Pro Hac Vice* Admission Pending) Matthew J. Murray (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending) Stefanie Wilson (Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending)

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 16 of 17

26

ASEA'S ANSWER TO STATE OF ALASKA'S COMPLAINT State of Alaska v. ASEA/AFSCME Local 52, AFL-CIO Case No. 3AN-19-09971 CI Page 17 of 17